

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI BENCH**

NO.MAT/MUM/JUD/1542/2016  
 Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal  
 Pay & Accounts Barrack Nos.3 & 4,  
 Free Press Journal Marg,  
 Nariman Point, Mumbai 400 021.

Date :

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 673 OF 2015.**

- 3 MAY 2016

**(Sub :- Suspension)**

- 1 Mr. Vilas G. Waste,  
 R/at. Shree Swami Samarth Nagar, Visava, Isbavi, Pandharpur,  
 Dist. Solapur.

**....APPLICANT/S.****VERSUS**

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| 1 The State of Maharashtra, through<br>Secretary, Revenue & Forest<br>Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai. | 2 The Revenue Commissioner, Pune<br>Division, Pune, Vidhan Bhavan<br>Council Hall, Pune.  |
| 3 The Collector, ZP Compound,<br>Solapur.   | 4 The Sub Divisional Officer,<br>Pandharpur Division, Near<br>Paryatak Niwas, Pandharpur. |
| 5 The Tahasildar, Pandharpur, Near<br>Pandharpur Railway Station,<br>Pandharpur, Dist. Solapur.       |   |

**...RESPONDENT/S**

Copy to : The C.P.O. M.A.T., Mumbai.

The applicant/s above named has filed an application as per copy already served on you, praying for reliefs as mentioned therein. The Tribunal on the 27<sup>th</sup> day of **April, 2016** has made the following order:-

APPEARANCE : Shri K.R. Jagdale, Advocate for the Applicant.

Shri A.J. Chougule, P.O. for the Respondents.

CORAM : **HON'BLE SHRI RAJIV AGARWAL, VICE-CHAIRMAN.**DATE : **27.04.2016.**

ORDER : Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

Shri Chougule, learned Presenting Officer, places on record certain documents which shows that the Applicant has been reinstated in service by order dated 6.11.2015 and he has also been paid salary for the period 1.9.2014 to 16.9.2014 and suspension allowance from 17.9.2014 to 6.11.2015 on 11.3.2016. Learned Presenting Officer stated that the prayers of the Applicant in the Original Application has been met and nothing survives in the Original Application.

Learned Advocate Shri Jagdale stated that the calculation of the suspension allowance has not been done correctly. If that be the case, the Applicant can seek redressal from Respondent no.5.

As the prayers of the Applicant in this Original Application have been substantially met, this Original Application is disposed of accordingly as nothing survives with no order as to costs.

Sd/-

(Rajiv Agarwal)  
 Vice-Chairman.

*Amal*  
 21/5/2016  
 Research Officer,  
 Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal,  
 Mumbai.